

APPROVED SUPERVISOR'S EVALUATION

The final supervisor mentor uses this form to evaluate the supervisor candidate's knowledge and skill, and the candidate's readiness to receive the Approved Supervisor designation. Once complete, the Approved Supervisor should return this rating sheet to the supervisor candidate for inclusion in the Approved Supervisor application packet that will be sent to AAMFT. Please DO NOT submit this form to AAMFT separately from the Approved Supervisor application packet.

Supervisor candidate: _____

Approved Supervisor: _____

The evaluation is broken into three sections:

- i. The Approved Supervisor mentor's assessment of how well the supervisor candidate has integrated the nine learning objectives during his/her training process;
- ii. The supervision candidate's philosophy of supervision, as described in his/her Philosophy of Supervision paper written during the MFT supervision fundamentals course;
- iii. The Approved Supervisor mentor's evaluation of the supervisor candidates' skill as a supervisor, based on the 36 hours of observation/supervision mentoring sessions.

In order to be considered for the Approved Supervisor designation, the candidate should achieve a score of at least "acceptable" (2) in every category listed, and an overall average of "excellent" (3) or better in each of the sections. For evaluation scores of "minimal" or lower, the Approved Supervisor mentor and supervisor candidate should discuss the issue and develop a plan for remediation before the Approved Supervisor application packet is submitted to AAMFT.

Scoring: Use the following scale to rate the *Nine Learning Objectives and Evaluation of the Supervisor Candidate's Skill as a Supervisor using the evaluation forms:*

Number	Rating	Definition
0	Insufficient	The candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of this concept or learning objective. With regard to the candidate's Philosophy of Supervision paper, he/she does not address the issue.
1	Minimal	The candidate can discuss the concept or issue, but does not integrate it within his/her overall framework. In the candidate's Philosophy of Supervision paper, she/he names the concept or issue, but does not provide a basic definition of the concept or issue.
2	Acceptable	The candidate has an adequate grasp of the concept or issue and sometimes integrates it within his/her overall framework. Regarding the Philosophy of Supervision paper, he/she names and provides a basic definition of the concept or issue, but does not provide an explanation of the concept or issue.
3	Excellent	The candidate is familiar with the concept or issue and often integrates it within his or her overall framework. Regarding the Philosophy of Supervision paper, the candidate names, defines and explains the concept or issue.
4	Exceptional	The candidate exhibits an excellent grasp of the concept, and consistently integrates it within his/her overall framework. Regarding the Philosophy of Supervision paper, the candidate names, defines, and explains and integrates the concept or issue as appropriate. Some areas may stand alone, e.g., supervisory modalities.

Please score each objective with scoring chart on page 39 and place the average score for the section in the last box.

I. Integration of Nine Learning Objectives for Prospective Approved Supervisors	Scoring
Is the supervisor candidate familiar with the major models of MFT supervision in terms of their philosophical assumptions and pragmatic implications?	0 1 2 3 4
Can the candidate articulate a personal model of supervision, drawn from existing models of supervision and from her/his preferred styles of therapy?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the candidate facilitate the co-evolving therapist-client and supervisor-therapist-client relationships?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the candidate evaluate and identify problems in therapist-client and supervisor-therapist-client relationships?	0 1 2 3 4
Can the candidate structure supervision, solve problems, and implement supervisory interventions within a range of supervisory modalities (for example, live and videotaped supervision)?	0 1 2 3 4
Is the candidate able to address distinctive issues that arise in supervision mentoring?	0 1 2 3 4
Is the candidate sensitive to power and privilege contextual variables such as culture, gender, ethnicity and economics?	0 1 2 3 4
Is the candidate knowledgeable of ethical and legal issues of supervision?	0 1 2 3 4
Is the candidate aware of the requirements and procedures for supervising applicants for AAMFT Clinical Fellow or Pre-Clinical Fellow (under the doctoral track) membership?	0 1 2 3 4
Average Score on Learning Objectives	

Please use the chart below to score Philosophy of Supervision section only. Please score each item and place the average score for the section in the last box.

0 - Not Present	1 – Inadequate (named but not defined)	2 – Fair (name and defined but not discussed/explained)	3 – Good (named, defined/explained, illustrated)	4- Excellent (named, defined, discussed/explained, illustrated, integrated)
-----------------	--	---	--	---

(Scores may also indicate subjective quality of articulation in the area.)

II. Fundamentals of Supervision Personal Philosophy of Supervision Evaluation	Scoring
Evidence of systems thinking.	0 1 2 3 4
Clarity of purpose and goals for supervision.	0 1 2 3 4
Clarity of supervisory roles and relationships.	0 1 2 3 4
Evidence of awareness of personal and professional experiences that impact supervision.	0 1 2 3 4
Preferred supervision model and connection between own therapy model and supervision model.	0 1 2 3 4
Evidence of sensitivity to contextual factors such as developmental phase of trainee, training setting, culture, ethnicity, race, sexual orientation, age, sex, gender, economics, and so forth.	0 1 2 3 4
Clarity of preferred process of supervision (individual/group; live/audio/video/technology-assisted; frequency, contracting; evaluating).	0 1 2 3 4
Evidence of sensitivity to ethics and legal factors.	0 1 2 3 4
Awareness of requirements for AAMFT membership, regulatory requirements, and standards for the Approved Supervisor designation.	0 1 2 3 4
Integrated literature.	0 1 2 3 4
Average Score for Philosophy of Supervision Paper	

Please score each item with scoring chart on page 39 and place the average score for the section in the last box.

<i>III. Evaluation of the Supervisor Candidate's Skill as a Supervisor, as Observed by the Approved Supervisor Mentor During the Supervision Mentoring Sessions</i>	Scoring
Is the supervisor candidate aware of the influence of external systems on the supervision of the therapist (for example, limitations, and resources)?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the supervisor candidate demonstrate awareness of power and privilege in contextual considerations (ethnicity, culture, gender, sexual orientation, etc.) in supervision of MFTs/therapists/trainees?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the supervisor candidate negotiate a clear contract for supervision at the beginning of the supervisory relationship with therapists/trainees?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the supervisor candidate discuss the therapist's skill level at the time that supervision begins, and does he/she assess the interpersonal style of the therapist?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the supervisor candidate describe the structure of the supervision process, specifically delineating goals and objectives?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the supervisor candidate maintain clear and appropriate professional boundaries and ethical behavior with therapists/trainees?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the supervisor candidate create a supportive learning environment and foster the development and creativity of the therapist, rather than fostering imitation of the supervisor?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the supervisor candidate have methods for helping the therapist/trainee recognize his or her areas of inexperience or discomfort so that the therapist will make use of supervision and referral when appropriate?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the supervisor candidate take steps to assume appropriate responsibility for facilitating change in the therapist and use various methods to foster development?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the supervisor candidate consider the stages of development of the therapist and tailor the supervision to match each stage?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the supervisor candidate evaluate the progress of supervision, including changes that occurred in the therapist/trainee and clients, the therapist's/trainee's current abilities, and make recommendations for his or her future development as a therapist?	0 1 2 3 4
Does the supervisor candidate address his/her own development as a supervisor, and identifying the actions he/she will take to promote his/her future development as a supervisor?	0 1 2 3 4
Average Score on Supervisor Skills	

As the Approved Supervisor mentor for this supervisor candidate, my assessment is that this supervisor candidate has successfully integrated the **learning objectives** into his or her theory and practice of MFT supervision, and has demonstrated an acceptable level of knowledge and skill in the provision of marriage and family therapy supervision. He/she has received no rating less than "acceptable" (2) for each evaluation item, and an average score of "excellent" (3) or better in each of the three evaluation sections.

I have read the evaluation of me by my Approved Supervisor mentor, and have had the opportunity to discuss the evaluation with him/her prior to submitting the evaluation to AAMFT.

Signature of Approved Supervisor

Date

Signature of supervisor candidate

Date